

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 399757 10/081,319 02/21/2002 5834 Shubhen Kapila EXAMINER 30955 04/23/2004 LATHROP & GAGE LC METZMAIER, DANIEL S 4845 PEARL EAST CIRCLE PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT SUITE 300 BOULDER, CO 80301 1712

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					A:
. ,		Application No). Ap	oplicant(s)	- 1"
		10/081,319	KA	APILA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Ar	t Unit	
		Daniel S. Metzr		′12	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cov	er sheet with the corre	espondence address	•
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, hortion. s, a reply within the statutory my period will apply and will expiry statute, cause the application	wever, may a reply be timely fi ninimum of thirty (30) days will e SIX (6) MONTHS from the n to become ABANDONED (3)	iled be considered timely. nailing date of this communical 5 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
Status					
1)[🖂	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 January 2004 and 12 February 2004.				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	 ✓ Claim(s) 17-21,23-26 and 28-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ✓ Claim(s) 17-21,23-26 and 28-30 is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 31 and 32 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 				
Applicat	ion Papers	-			
10)[\]	The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 February 2002</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	is/are: a)☐ accepte to the drawing(s) be hel correction is required if t	d in abeyance. See 37 he drawing(s) is objecte	CFR 1.85(a). ed to. See 37 CFR 1.12	` '
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachmen	t(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T	Interview Summary (PT0	0.413)	
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	48)	_ Paper No(s)/Mail Date. <u>(</u>	<u>041904</u> .	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ er No(s)/Mail Date		Notice of Informal Paten Other:	t Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/081,319

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 17-21, 23-26 and 28-32 are pending.

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the last paragraph on page 1 contains an ink blot in the penultimate line on the word "technical". The paragraph should be amended to make clear to the printer that applicants intend "technical oils".

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 31 and 32 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5, 9-14, 16, 31, and 32 of copending Application No. 10/081,409. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims read on, or at least overlap, the stated claims of the '409 application.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,319 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 17-21, 23-26 and 28-30 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 28 Jan 2004 and 12 Feb 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Specifically the Terminal Disclaimer disclaims the instant application over itself and therefore does not obviate the instant provisional rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S. Metzmaier whose telephone number is (703) 308-0451. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,319

Art Unit: 1712

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel S. Metzmaier^c Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1712

DSM